

Seeking Alpha^α

Quick Picks & Lists | Basic Materials

Top 10 List For Gold/Silver Miners

Nov. 15, 2020 11:24 PM ET102 comments | 55 Likes

by: Don Durrett

Summary

- Producers and near-term producers with good risk-reward profiles.
- Stocks that I expect to perform well in a rising gold/silver price environment.
- Solid properties and management teams that should have high free cash flow.

Below is the current Top 10 list in the GSD Newsletter. The lowest upside rating is a 2, which is an expected 300% return at higher gold/silver prices. So, all of the stocks on this list are expected to have high returns.

Some of them have higher risks than others, but overall, they tend to have very solid risk-reward profiles. The majority of companies are in good locations, although there is significant production in Mexico and one stock in West Africa, also some exposure in South America.

Not all of them have pristine balance sheets, which is reflected in their high-risk rating. So, if gold/silver prices drop significantly, these stocks could fall rapidly in valuation.

What makes this list strong is the quality of their properties/projects, along with valuations that are leveraged for higher gold/silver prices. All of these stocks should do well in a rising precious metals bull market.

Name	Main Symbol	Last Checked	Type	Rating		Risk	Your Summary	Current	\$ USD	Category	FD Shares	FD Mkt. Cap	
				Upside	Downside								
Argonaut Gold Inc	AR.TO	09/04/2020	Gold	3.5	3.0	Moderate		CAD	2.470	1.879	MP	296.00M	\$556.12M
Aurcana Corp	AUN.V	11/14/2020	Silver	2.5	3.0	High		CAD	1.070	0.814	J-NP	328.20M	\$267.11M
Coeur Mining Inc	CDE	06/01/2020	Gold	2.5	3.0	High		USD	8.150	8.150	MP	245.00M	\$1,996.75M
Endeavour Silver Corp	EXK	02/04/2020	Silver	3.0	2.5	High		USD	3.700	3.700	MP	148.05M	\$547.79M
First Majestic Silver Corp	AG	10/14/2020	Silver	2.5	3.0	High		USD	10.990	10.990	MP	228.41M	\$2,510.27M
Hecla Mining Company	HL	01/01/2020	Silver	2.5	3.0	High		USD	5.330	5.330	MP	501.10M	\$2,670.86M
Hochschild Mining Plc	HOC.L	01/25/2020	Silver	2.5	3.0	Moderate		GBX	237.400	3.125	MP	515.55M	\$1,611.13M
IAMGOLD Corp	IAG	05/03/2020	Gold	2.5	3.0	High		USD	3.530	3.530	MP	480.00M	\$1,694.40M
MAG Silver Corp	MAG.TO	01/01/2020	Silver	2.0	4.0	Moderate		CAD	22.550	17.152	MP	88.60M	\$1,519.71M
Pan American Silver Corp.	PAAS	06/04/2020	Silver	2.5	3.0	Moderate		USD	33.020	33.020	M	227.00M	\$7,495.54M

I will give you a short analysis of why each stock makes my Top 10. Most of these stocks are well-known, so the analysis will focus more on the nuances of why I picked them.

I have also included my expected future market cap for each stock based on gold prices at \$2,500 and silver at \$100. One question I'm sure many of you will ask is what is the future timeline for these valuations? The answer is 3 to 5 years, although that is only a guess, as our future market cap estimates.

Another question you will ask is why are the future free cash flow multiples different for each company? Why do I use random values from 5x to 10x? This is based on a number of factors, but mostly my experience and gut-level expectations.

Some people find that guessing the future is a fool's errand and instead they focus on current company valuations. I find that it is very useful to project out into the future using estimated future production, estimated future costs, and estimated future metal prices. I have found this to be extremely beneficial in picking stocks.

I'll give you an example. In 2008, after gold & silver prices collapsed, along with share prices for the miners, I used this method to identify stocks that had large upside potential. I compared their current market cap to my estimated future market cap. It worked extremely well, and I expect to again during this bull market, as displayed below in this article.

Argonaut Gold (OTCPK:ARNGF): They are not an elite mid-tier producer, but management has shown that they execute well. They have grown production steadily without adding a lot of share dilution or debt. They have also kept their costs low enough not to get into trouble. They are not a low-cost producer, but also not a high-cost producer.

They have focused on North America and Mexico and have shown a propensity for growth. Currently, they are building their largest mine in Canada. It won't be their last one. I consider them a growth stock, although management is on the conservative side, and they grow methodically.

Note: Some analysts may feel that Argonaut has had significant dilution. For a mid-tier producer with a market cap of \$500 million, I feel their dilution has been somewhat low over the years. They raised \$40 million from 2015 to 2019, during a difficult period for the gold mining industry, and recently raised \$57 million to advance their large Magino mine in Canada. Considering they have built several mines and have never had a share split, I feel that staying below 300 million FD shares is an achievement.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$2,500 gold.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz.: \$1,400.

Estimated Future Annual Production: 450,000 oz.

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$300 million.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$3 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$555 million.

Aurcana (OTCQX:AUNFF): They recently financed a silver mine in Colorado. This will make them a 3 million oz. producer (AGEQO in 2021). Plus, they have another mine on care and maintenance, which could add another 1 million oz. of annual production. I have them valued as a 4 million oz. future producer, which gives them excellent leverage to higher silver prices.

What I really like about Aurcana is their exploration potential at their Colorado property. I am expecting a lot of high-grade drill results over the next few years, which should increase their production beyond 3 million oz.

Their Colorado mine has thin veins, and costs could be higher than expected, so that adds risk. But if silver remains above \$20 and trends higher, they should do well.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$100 silver.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz.: \$18.

Estimated Future Annual Production: 4 million oz.

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$200 million.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$1.3 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$265 million.

Coeur Mining (NYSE:CDE): If you invest in gold/silver miners, then you should be aware of this stock. They are a large mid-tier producer with several large-quality properties (mostly in North America). Coeur generally performs poorly during bear cycles and well during bull cycles. The reason for this is management tends to spend too much money adding debt to their balance sheet. A weak balance sheet is never advantageous during bear cycles.

Many investors do not like this stock for the reasons just mentioned. However, during bull markets, Coeur tends to perform well because high free cash flow fixes all problems. And they have the potential for very high free cash flow. Once their balance sheet is cleaned up, and they are reporting good quarters, investors will buy the stock.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$2,500 gold and \$100 silver.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz. (gold): \$1,300.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz. (silver): \$18.

Estimated Future Annual Production (gold): 350,000 oz.

Estimated Future Annual Production (silver): 16 million oz.

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$1 billion.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$8 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$2 billion.

Endeavour Silver (NYSE:EXK): This is another stock that has a lot of detractors. They don't have low costs, plus they don't have large resources. And to make matters worse, they do not have an elite management team. Then why is it on this list? Call it a hunch. I expect this stock to perform well with regards to free cash flow and share price appreciation.

I would say this is the mutt of the litter, and you could consider owning Alexco Resource (NYSEMKT:AXU) instead. I was undecided on which one to include but chose Endeavour because of its success in the past. They remind me of Argonaut Gold and consider their management team to be good executors, with a growth mentality. For this reason, I think they could grow into a larger company. Their growth potential gave them the nod over Alexco.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$100 silver.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz.: \$18.

Estimated Future Annual Production: 6.5 million oz.

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$400 million.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$2.5 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$550 million.

First Majestic Silver (NYSE:AG): You should be aware of this stock. They are one of the largest pure silver producers, with some gold production. They have elite properties and an elite management team. Their largest risk is exposure to Mexico, but there are not very many large silver mines in safe jurisdictions.

They are going to be a cash-flow machine as silver prices rise. Once they clean up their balance sheet and fill it up with cash, their share price should fly. One thing to like about this stock is they are shareholder-focused.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$100 silver.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz.: \$17.

Estimated Future Annual Production: 25 million oz. (AGEQ).

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$1.2 billion.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$10 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$2.5 billion.

Hecla Mining (NYSE:HL): Hecla is the twin of Coeur Mining (CDE). These stocks are so similar that if you own one, you might as well own the other. In fact, why don't these companies merge as equals and do shareholders a favor? They have very similar properties in nearly the same locations, and similar management styles, and similar balance sheets. Combined, they would be a powerhouse.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$2,500 gold and \$100 silver.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz. (gold) : \$1,200.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz. (silver): \$16.

Estimated Future Annual Production (gold): 250,000 oz.

Estimated Future Annual Production (silver): 10 million oz.

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$950 million.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$10 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$2.7 billion.

Hochschild Mining (OTC:HCHDY): Hochschild has been mining in South America for about 50 years. They have a very experienced team. They get about half their revenue from gold and half from silver. Their production comes from Peru and Argentina, although they have a large (9 million oz.) low-grade gold development project (Volcan) in Chile. I think their Volcan project will end up being worth more than their current market cap at higher gold prices.

This is a company with a lot of resources (gold and silver) and should become a 40 million oz. AGEQ producer in the next few years. Their balance sheet has been improving and they have very little net debt. Their costs have been high which adds a bit of risk, and this is why their valuation is currently low. However, they have a huge amount of leverage for higher gold/silver prices.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$100 silver.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz.: \$18.

Estimated Future Annual Production: 25 million oz. (AGEQ).

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$2 billion.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$10 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$1.6 billion.

IAMGOLD (NYSE:IAG): They have an elite management team that is growth-focused. They are more aggressive than smaller mid-tier producers and will become a major. I like their management team and quality properties. The risk with this stock is the location of some of their properties. I think it is worth the stretch in order to get their growth orientation and quality management team.

There are certain stocks that I want to hold, and this is one. They would have to make a very big mistake for me to change my opinion about them. In the mid-tier category, I would rate them number one in terms of management, strategy, and properties.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$2,500 gold.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz.: \$1,300.

Estimated Future Annual Production: 750,000 oz.

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$720 million.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$8 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$1.7 billion.

MAG Silver (NYSEMKT:MAG): They own 44% of Juanicipio, which is the best silver property in the world. That ownership cannot be ignored and left off your portfolio. It is a huge resource that will create the foundation of this company for decades. My concern is how management will turn that free cash flow into shareholder value.

At this time, I'm concerned that management is going to use a conservative route of becoming a royalty company. I would prefer them to buy and build more mines. Ideally, I would like to see MAG merge with a company of equal size. This would solve their strategy issues and reward shareholders with a path to large returns.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$100 silver.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz.: \$10.

Estimated Future Annual Production: 7 million oz. (AGEQ).

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$400 million.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$4.5 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$1.5 billion.

Pan American Silver (NASDAQ:PAAS): Certain stocks stand out as must-own, and this is one. They have an elite management team and elite properties. This is the silver mining company that most silver mining companies want to become. They are pros. It's one of the few companies that I don't question how they operate. They know what they are doing.

If the precious metals bull market has legs, I will be surprised if Pan American Silver doesn't reach \$100. It will be going up \$5 a day during silver runs. I'm expecting it to be one of the market leaders.

Estimate Future Valuation at \$2,500 gold and \$100 silver.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz. (gold): \$1,200.

Estimated Future All-In Costs (breakeven) Per oz. (silver): \$17.

Estimated Future Annual Production (gold): 500,000 oz.

Estimated Future Annual Production (silver): 22 million oz.

Estimated Future Free Cash Flow: \$2.6 billion.

Estimated Future Market Cap: \$23 billion.

Current Market Cap: \$7.5 billion.

Notes

These estimates are based on expectations that may not occur. Companies will need to execute to meet my expectations and costs will need to remain near their current levels. Plus, as stated above, gold/silver prices will need to rise significantly.

I think it is becoming clear that there is a good chance that gold will reach \$2,500 and that this level is no longer a stretch. On the other hand, silver still lags gold, and \$100 silver still seems implausible. I think this will change soon. Once silver reaches \$35, it won't take long to get to an ATH at \$50. I have included some of my reasoning for using \$2,500 and \$100 for future valuations in the notes at the bottom.

Gold hit an ATH of \$2075 in August. Silver is still only halfway to its ATH, currently at only \$25. Just think if gold was at half of its ATH. This is why \$100 seems so far away.

However, once we get to \$50 silver, it won't seem so implausible.

It is my expectation that silver won't get to \$100 until gold reaches \$3,000, which would be a GSR (gold-silver ratio) of 30. I could have used a \$3,000 gold target, but I'm comfortable with \$2,500. Perhaps, I should have used a silver target a bit lower, but \$100 is a number that I have stuck with in my valuations. Soon, I will have to use \$3,000 for my future valuations (once gold reaches \$2,200 or so), but I'll leave silver at \$100.

Disclosure: I am/we are long MAG, IAG, AG, CDE, HCHDF, PAAS, ARNGF, AUNFF, EXK, HL. I wrote this article myself, and it expresses my own opinions. I am not receiving compensation for it (other than from Seeking Alpha). I have no business relationship with any company whose stock is mentioned in this article.

Comments (102)

andre171

5 silver miners recommended under this link (according to your choices): [www.insidermonkey.com/...](http://www.insidermonkey.com/)

03 Dec 2020, 09:30 AM

Mredlark

Don have you ever looked at Hycroft mining? Looks promising to me!

02 Dec 2020, 11:56 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I have it as a top pick because of their low valuation and quality property in a good location. However, I don't own it because it is basically the same management team that failed before. Plus, they do not have a company presentation on their website. I just don't get the warm and fuzzies with this company.

02 Dec 2020, 03:20 PM

JustinConsults

Premium Marketplace

Thanks for the article and top 10 list, actionable advice. Also, good to see you own each of these stocks, gives us all greater conviction. Lastly, thanks for being a good guy to follow on Twitter!

29 Nov 2020, 04:33 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » @JustinConsults Thanks for the kudos, much appreciated. If you haven't tried GSD, send me a DM.

30 Nov 2020, 01:14 AM

Jacob 2

Thanks again Don have read your articles over the years and have acted and profited from some your picks. That said, I seem to have a character flaw in being attracted to to the worst of the worst speculative mining stocks. An age old pattern on my part in that sometimes the worst preform spectacularly. After our long correction do believe it's approaching that time again. So any thoughts on MINCO SILVER , MISVF? Ha!

23 Nov 2020, 09:31 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » Looks like you are not a subscriber to GSD. Want a free trial? Send me a DM with your email. Anyway, Minco has a permit issue, or at least it appears that they do. They have been waiting for a permit forever. I gave up on them a few years ago. But, I'm like you, underwater.

To avoid the worst, only invest in exploration stocks that have excellent discovery holes and appear to have a big mine in the making. Those are the only ones that are 20+ baggers. And avoid development stocks that do not have a path to production. Those two rules will fix your flaw.

23 Nov 2020, 03:01 PM

move2troy

Good Article! Thanks for the update on all these miners..

18 Nov 2020, 10:48 PM

soral a raison

I am overinvested in lamgold, so not interested in crapping all over them for no good reason. But the consensus is that their ex-ceo (Lewin) was the worst of the gold patch, and the new one has not done anything remotely impressive yet. So where does the unsubstantiated "stellar management" comment come from? Kind of throws a doubt over the rest of the article.

18 Nov 2020, 05:42 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » The stellar management is my personal opinion watching how they have executed over the past 10 years. I think they have done a good job building, acquiring, and operating mines. I think they are the next Goldcorp. We'll see.

Some investors/analysts question the location of some of their properties. But I like their aggressiveness when they see a quality property. They have been diversifying into better locations, such as Canada.

In the mid-tier category, I think they are one of the better management teams based on their execution/decisions. I'm interested if you have a list of mid-tier management teams that are better.

18 Nov 2020, 08:00 PM

seekir

@Don Durrett

Yamana and Equinox Gold are my favorites there.

03 Dec 2020, 08:38 AM

andre171

Thank you once again for this great article.

It is true that an HL-CDE merger would make a lot of sense, especially since they are currently comparable in terms of their capitalization, their cash flow and the volume of their reserves. The level of reserves would be essential in the hypothesis that you envisage a big rise in the prices of gold and silver. Currently, each Gold equivalent ounce (Proven and probable and indicated and measured) is valued at \$ 210 for HL and \$ 187 for CDE with inferred resources of the same order.

Regarding CDE, for the 3Q, the cash flow from operating activities was 84.6 M (excluding the repaid advance). It should also be remembered (July 30 conference) that Rochester is expected to eventually issue a CF Op Act of over 150M per year (100M with gold at 16.15 and silver at 16.5) when it is currently minimal. Therefore a CF OpAct. of the order of 300M does not seem utopian to me and could justify a capitalization of more than 3750M, or more than \$ 15 per share (ratio of 12.5 valuation / CF Op. Act. in 2016 and + - 18 for peers and of 15 according to link below).

What do you think, am I possibly too optimistic and in what? Excuse my bad English, French is my mother tongue.

www.theassay.com/...

18 Nov 2020, 12:07 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I agree with you. CDE could easily surprise to the upside as gold/silver prices rise. They have excellent leverage. I like the fact that it will be an institutional name as gold/silver prices rise.

18 Nov 2020, 03:35 PM

seekir

To merge these two laggards would be a good idea, but please with another management.

03 Dec 2020, 08:41 AM

andre171

Thank you once again for this great article.

It is true that an HL-CDE merger would make a lot of sense, especially since they are currently comparable in terms of their capitalization, their cash flow and the volume of their reserves. The level of reserves would be essential in the hypothesis that you envisage a big rise in the prices of gold and silver. Currently, each Gold equivalent ounce (Proven and probable and indicated and measured) is valued at \$ 210 for HL and \$ 187 for

CDE with inferred resources of the same order.

Regarding CDE, for the 3Q, the cash flow from operating activities was 84.6 M (excluding the repaid advance). It should also be remembered (July 30 conference) that Rochester is expected to eventually issue a CF Op Act of over 150M per year (100M with gold at 1615 and silver at 16.5) when it is currently minimal. Therefore a CF OpAct. of the order of 300M does not seem utopian to me and could justify a capitalization of more than 3750M, or more than \$ 15 per share (ratio of 12.5 valuation / CF Op. Act. in 2016 and + - 18 for peers and of 15 according to link below).

What do you think, am I possibly too optimistic and in what? Excuse my bad English, French is my mother tongue.

www.theassay.com/...

18 Nov 2020, 11:45 AM

Norman Bates at Disneyland

Don,

Useful article thanks. I suggest you call it '10 interesting miners' in future as - as the comments illustrate - everyone has their strong opinion on the 10 ten.

17 Nov 2020, 09:37 AM

gca1

Marketplace

Terrific article Don! Very helpful!!!

17 Nov 2020, 09:24 AM

esther heyman

If we embrace the premise of \$100 silver, the best investment is SILJ. The considerations are safety and profit potential...if silver goes to \$100 and one or more of your silver equities experiences company-specific tragedy along the way, then you were right in theory and still lost money. As a liquid basket of juniors that will go ballistic during this hypothetical mega-bull market, SILJ is guaranteed to outperform the larger cap stocks in the sector and lacks the wipeout potential inherent in owning individual equities. Lower risk. Higher reward. Based upon your scenario there will be no better investment during the next 3-5 years than junior silver stocks, so why not own them in a way that minimizes the possibility for unpleasant surprises? One final factor: ease of ownership. Time is money, so eliminating the need to monitor the fundamentals and technicals of multiple individual metals shares makes a portfolio more efficient. I will go with SILJ and GDXJ and we shall see who fares better during the upcoming "Thank You Greenspan And Bernanke And Yellen And Powell For Astronomical Precious Metals Prices" Secular Bull Market.

17 Nov 2020, 08:59 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I have a position in SILJ and agree that it is a very good ETF to get exposure to silver miners. However, keep in mind that GDXJ had trouble when their NAV jumped from \$1 billion to \$5 billion. The same thing could happen to some of the other miner ETFs if gold/silver rockets higher.

17 Nov 2020, 03:51 PM

LAOMEDON

Premium Marketplace

I cannot see the estimated valuation cited for 100 \$ silver or 2,500\$ gold. I am especially interested in your specific stock price for Aurcana.

17 Nov 2020, 05:05 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » The future free cash flow and future market cap are based on those values. I have Aurcana's future valuation at \$1.3 billion, which is probably on the aggressive side. They are going to need to be successful in the exploration program and show us they can expand production at RV. Plus, of course, silver will need to rise substantially and remain high. They are going to need higher silver price and higher production. Both of which I am expecting.

17 Nov 2020, 03:56 PM

kevinchui2628

Anyone have idea why GFI drop a lit recently?

17 Nov 2020, 04:21 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » Risk off? The first stocks to take a hit when gold drops are the higher risk stocks. Just a guess.

17 Nov 2020, 03:57 PM

silverlion

Anybody have any thoughts on Norzinc? I like that they have a low valuation and are changing from zinc / lead focus, to prioritizing silver (3M oz/yr) . I'm new to this space but seems as if they could be a diamond in the rough.

16 Nov 2020, 11:27 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I've followed it for years. Thankfully, they haven't given away their silver in a stream to finance it as a zinc mine. However, that is still my fear. I think it is a very valuable SILVER mine. I have told them that several times. They need to have confidence that silver is going higher. I agree, it has big upside potential.

17 Nov 2020, 12:53 AM

Thoemsi

@Don Durrett

NorZinc jumped 50% today after the announcement.

Thanks to another thread of yours you have made me aware of them.

In terms of valuation they are insane cheap! Let's just hope they mean it and focus on the Silver now.

17 Nov 2020, 12:08 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » Amazing that it is trading at 6 cents US. Should be a lot higher based on their silver in the ground and permits.

17 Nov 2020, 03:58 PM

silverlion

What's maddening is i've been eyeing this one for a while and went to pull the trigger first thing this morning only to find out my funds in Ameritrade had not cleared yet. Go out for a walk come back and see it up 50%. doh!

17 Nov 2020, 06:54 PM

bjorn2z

Premium

@silverlion What I takeaway is that you shouldn't ask the Don to bless your stock until after your funds clear! :-D

17 Nov 2020, 07:35 PM

sami92200

Hi Don,

I don't have a significant knowledge in the mining field since I started buying stocks this year using your analysis in GSD. And in order to classify companies I added the marks you gave on the upside/donside + a mark on the risk (moderate = 2, High = 1, extreme = 0). I come to the conclusion that there are some stocks with a better sum than those indicated in your list above. For instance GPL (3.5 + 3 + 2 for moderate = 8.5) and it is not in the liste whereas Aurcana with 2.5 + 3 + 1 for High = 6.5 is in your liste. Why ? May my method of ranking the stocks is not good ? Kind regards,

16 Nov 2020, 10:54 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » The downside rating includes the risk, so adding to those two numbers isn't really needed. Also, risk is not easily quantified for mining stocks. A lot can go south for any company. I tend to prefer the downside rating, which includes the risk-reward over the risk number.

16 Nov 2020, 03:34 PM

sami92200

Thanks Don.

17 Nov 2020, 04:04 AM

junior conquest

Excellent overview. Thanks for sharing

16 Nov 2020, 09:19 AM

seekir

What makes me really angry is that Seeking Alpha, together with Google, do all tricks to prevent me from printing an article. I do nothing criminal, I don't republish or anything like that. I just want to store it on my phone that I can re-read it in maybe three weeks. If they won't stop this behavior I will complain about in every comment I write. I AM ANGRY!!!

Seeking Alpha is a really good community. But what they do here is sh..!

16 Nov 2020, 08:58 AM

User 47356363

@seekir : Isn't that what Premium is for? S-A needs to make money too.

16 Nov 2020, 09:14 AM

Norman Bates at Disneyland

Copy it to Word and print.....

16 Nov 2020, 10:39 AM

passionatepiper65

Agree. Say only nice things or you get the ban hammer...no sense of free speech here at all

16 Nov 2020, 11:36 AM

kiki089

Make screenshots, takes 2 seconds.

17 Nov 2020, 06:40 AM

User 49518494

Kirkland Lake? Polyus? B2Gold? Northern Star Resources? Polymetal? Endeavour Mining?

Sorry, big no to the whole list.

16 Nov 2020, 08:52 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I'm looking for more upside than those stocks. But I like them as 2+ baggers.

16 Nov 2020, 03:35 PM

Taylor Dart**Contributor Premium**

"They are not an elite mid-tier producer, but management has shown that they execute well. They have grown production steadily without adding a lot of share dilution or debt. They have also kept their costs low enough not to get into trouble. They are not a low-cost producer, but also not a high-cost producer. They have focused on North America and Mexico and have shown a propensity for growth. Currently, they are building their largest mine in Mexico.

This is blatantly misleading.

First of all, 2017 production was 130,000 ounces, and goal was to get to 200,000 ounces with no acquisitions. This year, without the benefit of the Alio acquisition, the company is on track to produce barely 150,000 ounces. In that same period, share count is up from 158 million shares to 280 million shares, hardly "without adding a lot of dilution". This is one of most diluted producers in sector. Even if we count acquisition, share count was diluted by 80% while production grew by barely 60%, but grew at higher costs - that's not accretive.

Secondly, they are a high-cost producer. Industry average is \$980/oz, they're producing at \$1,150/oz - \$1,200/oz, there's no dispute on whether they're nearly 20% above industry average.

Finally, they don't have a large mine being built in Mexico, Magino is located in Ontario.

Many of the other summaries here are riddled with inconsistencies also.

16 Nov 2020, 08:04 AM

passionatepiper65

Doesn't matter...they are all going down. The future is battery metals not precious ones.

16 Nov 2020, 08:51 AM

seekir

@Taylor Dart

Taylor, I agree with you. I also don't like most companies from this list. MAG Silver, Pan American and Hochschild might be ok as a conservative bet. I think all others I would avoid.

16 Nov 2020, 09:01 AM

marissamae

Taylor which one are you referring to?

16 Nov 2020, 11:58 AM

Taylor Dart**Contributor Premium**

Hi MM,

Argonaut is one being referred to, but a few of the others are pretty questionable too. "Elite management team" and "IamGold" are not two things I expected to see in same sentence ever.

16 Nov 2020, 12:02 PM

Heywood Silvers

Why not get the best of both worlds, battery & precious metals? Check out NCPCF, trading at a 97% discount from all-time highs. One of the largest PGM & nickel projects in North America.

As always, perform your own due diligence.

16 Nov 2020, 03:36 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » We disagree on Argonaut. I will be wrong if they don't reach 450,000 oz of production, and gold prices don't go higher. But if I'm right on those two outcomes, then I expect Argonaut to do extremely well.

16 Nov 2020, 03:38 PM

Taylor Dart

Contributor Premium

What is to disagree on?

How do you figure they grew production with minimal dilution when they diluted 80% to grow production 50%? And how do you figure that their project they're building is in Mexico? And finally, how do you figure that they are a medium-cost producer when their trailing twelve month average costs are \$1,255/oz, and the industry average is \$980/oz? Isn't that a high cost producer?

16 Nov 2020, 03:41 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I've followed them for more than a decade and they have never had a reverse split. As a shareholder, I'm comfortable with their level of dilution, considering they have built about 4 mines.

Yeah, I made the typo with regards to Mexico. I knew their large project is in Canada.

For costs, I don't use ASIC for costs, which I think is misleading. I use their breakeven costs per oz, which I estimate, to be their all-in cost per oz.

Here are my ranges for a mid-tier producer all-in costs (breakeven) per oz:

High: >\$1500

Med: >\$1000 and Low: These are just ballpark numbers. I don't actually label companies as high/med/low cost producers in my database. That would be a pain because the price of gold constantly changes.

16 Nov 2020, 05:36 PM

Taylor Dart

Contributor Premium

They've built 0 mines in past 4 years and diluted 80%. I'm not sure what past dilution has to do with

recent 80% dilution with minimal production growth except M&A recently which has added a high-cost mine.

Aren't those figures just opinion, though? Doesn't it make sense to use actual cost data? If the company's all-in sustaining costs are in the top 10% in the industry, then it's all-in costs are also in the 10% of the industry, I don't know how this doesn't reflect as a high-cost producer.

16 Nov 2020, 07:34 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » @Taylor Dart We analyze mines differently. I've followed Argonaut from their beginning. You seem to think they are a poorly run company and a habitual diluter. That is not my opinion. I have analyzed the stock annually since 2012. Yes, they have not had a great run during the bear market from 2013 to 2018, but they made steady progress.

They are good mine builders and good executers. I expect them to reach their target of 450,000 oz of annual production. They are very aware of their costs and tend to be a conservative team. I actually wish they were a bit more aggressive. It kind of surprised me that they greenlighted their large project. In the past, they were quite content to build projects with smaller market caps.

I'm not concerned with their costs. Management is not going to allow their costs to become a problem. In the past, they were very careful to keep their all-in costs (breakeven) below \$1300. Currently, costs have crept up a bit, but I'm sure they are watching them closely. As I said, this is a conservative management team.

The reason they are on my list is because of their upside potential at higher gold prices. It's not a low-cost miner, but that is one of the reasons for their high leverage. What they have going for them is growth potential both in production and free cash flow. This is exactly the kind of stock I look for. Yes, it has risk, but if you are investing in gold mining stocks, you should embrace risk if the upside is also there.

I do not invest in stocks for the short term. I never buy a stock with the intention of selling in 1 or 2 years. I am not a trader. I look for setups for a few years down the road. (edited)

16 Nov 2020, 08:29 PM

bjorn2z

Premium

I have followed Taylor and Don in depth for almost two years. Taylor's rigorous SA articles are wonderful but sometimes miss the woods for the trees. Taylor unquestionably works ridiculously hard and provides much detail in his SA articles that are of true value to us readers. Some of those articles have opinionated dismissals of "laggards". Above there is the dismissal of high cost and price of gold and silver projections based on a macroeconomic view, as matters of opinion.

I happen to share Don's projections, and for those who understand and concur with those projections, high cost is not necessarily a pejorative, but an attribute that could provide justifiable leverage, using longer time frames. Taylor's profit taking, laggard avoiding strategy works. However, I much prefer Don's more far-sighted and purer precious metals/mining strategy that properly recognizes the

historical context of money supply and gold/silver. Don's Gold Stock Data has unmatched comprehensiveness and transparency. (edited)

16 Nov 2020, 11:00 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » @bjorn2z Thanks for the kudos, Bjorn. My approach is unique. I focus on future free cash flow. This is a contrarian approach, but I think it works well. I like to look out into the future and forecast future market caps.

Because gold/silver miners are so highly dependent on just a few factors, it makes it possible to forecast the future. All you need to do is get close. Those factors are primarily future production, future costs, and future metal prices. If you guess those three right, you should do well in identifying large returns.

17 Nov 2020, 01:01 AM

Positive777

@Don Durrett

A lot of guessing... 3 variables that u need to go right as well.. I prefer Taylors cautious granularity vs guessing. IF all your variables happen I win with Taylors choices.. if 2 of the 3 happen then I still win

..

17 Nov 2020, 09:50 AM

passionatepiper65

Wouldn't touch it...half a billion shares outstanding, no money, middle of nowhere, low grade....will never get built in my lifetime. They have no clear path to production...will probably go to zero

17 Nov 2020, 05:44 PM

sami92200

@Taylor Dart Hi, if you disagree with thoses listed by Don, what are according to you the best 10 mining stocks in terms of risk/reward ratio? Kind regards.

17 Nov 2020, 05:48 PM

waldipup

"For costs, I don't use ASIC for costs, which I think is misleading. I use their breakeven costs per oz, which I estimate, to be their all-in cost per oz."

What does that mean?

Can you differentiate ASIC from your "break even?"

AUN has been morphing itself around forever .

How is it a top ten pick?

I'd rate it closer to bottom ten .

20 Nov 2020, 09:02 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I thought someone would push back on Aurcana. The risk is perhaps is too high for the top 10. You may be right, it might be a stretch.

AISC is given to us by the company and is always understated, excluding certain costs.

I always pad the AISC if it is given, usually \$200 for gold and about \$5 for silver, but my padding varies by company. More for smaller companies and less for larger ones.

If AISC is not provided, then I calculated it from the financials. Usually Net Profit minus Exploration/Development costs in an attempt to determine the breakeven cost per oz.

Here are the costs not included in AISC, the big one is exploration/development.

- 1) Depreciation, amortization.
- 2) Taxes and royalties.
- 3) Finance and interest charges.
- 4) Working capital.
- 5) Impairments.
- 6) Reclamation, remediation (not related to current operations).
- 7) Exploration, development, permitting (not related to current operations). (edited)

20 Nov 2020, 03:27 PM

waldipup

Oh so your cost analysis is higher than the AISC - More conservative?

Thanks for your response regarding Aurcana .

Knowing their history sure makes that entry a head scratcher for me.

20 Nov 2020, 04:34 PM

LAOMEDON

Premium Marketplace

I am heavily invested in Aurcana. From where I started the return has been excellent but in the future I will need to shift out as I am overweighted in it. I know nothing about their history making it a head scratcher and would like to know what history is that ? Is there a problem with the management ?

21 Nov 2020, 10:59 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » The history is pretty simple. They were a successful silver producer in Mexico at the

beginning of this decade. Then silver prices dropped and they couldn't pay off their loan. Subsequently, they had to sell their mine at a discount to pay off the loan.

They did some cleanup with management and the board and acquired the RV mine in Colorado. Now they are ready to produce again.

I think they will be fine if silver remains above \$18. All silver producers begin to feel pain sub \$18.

They have jumped in value and are no longer a solid 5 bagger, but I like their exploration potential, which is not reflected in their valuation. So, I like the stock and why it is on the top 10. A few good drill holes and it will take off. It has optionality for the silver price rising and additional drill holes at RV.

21 Nov 2020, 03:52 PM

LAOMEDON

Premium Marketplace

@Don Durrett

I feel the same. I got in at the bottom this year and am the past history is not material to this trade. I appreciate your reply and the article.

21 Nov 2020, 04:10 PM

waldipup

LA , they had nothing and got La Negra from Penoles , then Shafter , never met goals , diluted , borrowed , shareholders wiped out ,

Now a new incarnation -

Hardly IMO that which to bank on promises as a "top ten holding".

23 Nov 2020, 09:51 AM

waldipup

Yeah in the immortal words of Yogi ,

"Deja Vu all over again".

23 Nov 2020, 09:53 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » As a heads up, I should mention the main reason it is on this Top 10 list. I expect them to find a lot more high-grade silver. And I expect the RV mine to expand from 3 million oz of production to 4 or 5 million oz. That increase should pump this stock, or at least that is my expectation.

Also, I expect silver to go over \$30 in 2021 and remain over \$30 for many years to come. That makes the RV mine extremely valuable. If I'm wrong about the silver price, then Aurcana won't do that well. So, the key is expected higher resources and expected higher silver prices.

23 Nov 2020, 03:07 PM

LeoNeon

@Don Durrett

Argonaut obviously has an AISC exceeding \$1,300 and that is little high; however, we should take into account that once the Florida Canyon is upgraded (by the end of the first half of 2021) the AISC should fall under \$1,200. Also, when Magino starts production in 2023 the company AISC will be under \$1,000 while the production will be over 350k, possibly 400k. Add to that Cerro del Gallo down the road. Of course this requires patience. Until then we must be prepared to listen the stories about the current high cost and dilution by those who flip the stocks.

02 Dec 2020, 12:16 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » We are on the same page. That is exactly what I am looking at, plus the expectation of higher gold prices.

02 Dec 2020, 03:21 PM

LeoNeon

@Don Durrett

I would recommend viewing the videos posted on the Argonaut website - [www.argonautgold.com/...](http://www.argonautgold.com/)

It provides plenty information for investors who would like a deeper insight. In my opinion, this company is about the future while Taylor Dart is mainly focused on its present and past.

03 Dec 2020, 08:45 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » You must not have tried out GSD. Send me a DM with your email and I'll set you up with a free trial.

I agree with you that Taylor tends to be more oriented towards finding winners at the current price of gold. I think that is the wrong approach. It's better to identify the big winners at higher gold prices versus their current valuations.

03 Dec 2020, 09:19 PM

passionatepiper65

The precious metal trade is over...the world only wants to look forward to a post covid back to normal....people don't need gold but they do need oil and battery metals and they'll want cruises and holidays in Europe...gold has lost its shine.

16 Nov 2020, 07:20 AM

Positive777

@passionatepiper65

Very short termist comment.

The market has gotten over its skis.

It will take a year for vaxx to roll out. During this time your cruise companies will burn cash and airlines will be half full for another year also burning cash.

Insolvency facing many smaller companies even while oiled with liquidity.

This rotation was knee jerk and overdone expect some form of bounce.

The printing will start up in jan and watch the pm soar again.but perhaps a further dip brl3efore year end.

Not to say lithium and rare earths will not do well as well. Ultimately they must do.

16 Nov 2020, 01:55 PM

passionatepiper65

Well there's only so many places one can put his investment dollars...I think gold miners aren't on the top of the list anymore

16 Nov 2020, 02:18 PM

Heywood Silvers

Back to normal? You mean incessant money printing by the FED? They can't take away the punch bowl, it will implode the markets and we all know that!! Inflation will be the wind behind gold's sails. Negative real rates will push the herd into gold at an ever increasing pace.

Disagree? In the deflationists' camp are you? OK, what asset performed best during the Great Depression? Yep, gold did. Either way you slice the cake, gold will be THE winner in the new decade.

16 Nov 2020, 03:40 PM

Heywood Silvers

Vax is a red herring. By the time it is widely available, we will come to recognize that (as the CDC has even indicated) the mortality rate of those who died of covid only (stripping away the inflated co-morbidity figures) is nothing worse than the seasonal flu.

Sure there will be those who choose to be guinea pigs for Big Pharma (mostly blue state residents), but the primary thing keeping covid alive is the media hype. Would that be the same media that can't possibly fathom that there could be an ounce of voter fraud? Hmmm.....

Would you take a vaccine to prevent the flu that you previously had (most of us have already been exposed)? Of course not, why would anybody take the covid vax if all they're going to do is to try to manipulate you via scare tactics to keep taking it year after year? That will only make you more sick and susceptible to viruses. But, how else can Big Pharma get more profits unless they are keeping the populace sick?!

Mainstream media doesn't want us to THINK for ourselves. Guess that's why they call it the boob tube.

16 Nov 2020, 03:50 PM

renegade76

if I wanna invest in Gold and Silver I go for turbo calls. If I wanna benefit from a gold silver mineral stock than it needs to pay me a delicious yield. Right now I only see UK listed Polymetal International (expected yield around 7%) as attractive investment case. Barricks 1.4% is just a joke for me. Sorry for the rude language :)!

16 Nov 2020, 06:27 AM

Charles wagner

Hi Don—thanks for the useful list. For the silver producers, I can't figure out why you are predicting that they will underperform the metal. Example—your best silver names (PAAS and MAG) are estimated to triple if silver reaches \$100, which is 4X the current price. Why not just buy silver itself and not worry about the operational risks of the miners?

16 Nov 2020, 06:20 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » This is a common insight. I like to own both physical and miners. The miners give you additional leverage. So, yes, my estimates can appear to be a bit low for silver miners at times.

16 Nov 2020, 03:40 PM

overvloedgolf

I'm not impressed by this list. Barrick and Newmont will probably outperform the rest in a big bull market because they are the biggest and well-known. And of course Kirkland Lake, Kinross, Yamana Gold and Agnico Eagle belong to the top as well. I would prefer this names above Argonaut, lamgold and Coeur.

16 Nov 2020, 05:59 AM

marissamae

I agree with what you say. GSD top picks are based on higher PM prices and the upside potential is higher than the Barrick's of the world. If you don't buy into assuming PM prices are going higher then you are correct. But if prices go up then GSD top picks will rocket up.

16 Nov 2020, 12:33 PM

passionatepiper65

Pretty big stretch calling for \$100 silver and basing returns on that.

16 Nov 2020, 05:54 AM

Positive777

@passionatepiper65

Inconsistent to argue for 100 silver and 2500 gold. More likely 50 silver against 2500 gold.. first red flag that this thumbsuck

16 Nov 2020, 01:55 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » We'll see. Once we get over \$50, it won't take much of a push.

16 Nov 2020, 03:41 PM

goldtein

Premium

Too optimistic your calculation, if as you say gold at 2500 and silver at 100, there are many other medium and large mines much better than the ones you describe.

I would like that same calculation at a much more realistic price of gold 2000 and silver 30.

To predict at 5 years is to pose as a fortune teller.

16 Nov 2020, 03:02 AM

sami92200

@goldtein Hi, could you please give some examples of those "better stocks" knowing that the objective is to optimize the risk/reward ratio in the middle term ? Kind regards,

17 Nov 2020, 05:35 PM

goldtein

Premium

In my personal opinion they are better, although I can be wrong

KL, EGO, PAAS, KGC, WPM, AUU, BTG

18 Nov 2020, 03:40 AM

sami92200

Thanks

18 Nov 2020, 08:41 AM

sami92200

You are saying that one must invest primarily in majors (since all of those you listed are major except EGO). But from Don's point of view, majors will offer notably less upside than mid-tiers for a comparable level of risk/downside.

18 Nov 2020, 08:49 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I like majors, but prefer to use gold mutual funds/ETFs for them, since the upside is limited. I also buy the dip sometimes on majors. I bought EGO and AUU after they crashed. But I do not buy majors if they are pricey with limited upside.

18 Nov 2020, 03:41 PM

Tippman

Nice list Mr. Durrett. I am focussed on silver and many

of the above mentioned companies should do well when the price of silver goes to \$ 100,- per ounce or higher. My personal portfolio: 72% Fresnillo Plc because it's the worlds leading silver producer. And 27% Yamana Gold because it has "a First Majestic Silver" size silver production.

Additional: Yamana Gold just found an 11 kilometer long gold target on the Cerro Moro (gold/silver) mining site. Currently 5 drilling rigs (!!!) on that gold vein. Take in mind that Cerro Moro on average has 10,75 grams per tonne GOLD and 619,2 grams per tonne silver. It's my wet thumb that this new discovery (named The Selene) could be explosive. Probably 2 meters wide and 30 meters deep BONANZA grade ore. The Goddess of the Moon.

The Selene.

Greetings,

Tippman

16 Nov 2020, 02:36 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I like all three companies you mentioned. They should do well in a rising gold/silver price environment. I own AG and AUY. I probably should also own Fresnillo because of Juanacipio. (edited)

16 Nov 2020, 05:38 PM

Tippman

Hello Don,

Fresnillo projects to grow it's real silver ounces production towards about 71 mln. ounces by 2023. It's plausible that the world supply of silver will be about 700 mln. ounces per year by 2023.

Meaning to say that Fresnillo Plc is heading towards a 10% marketshare in the global silver market.

The shareholders of Fresnillo Plc (like me) receive between 33%

and 50% of the profits through dividends.

At \$ 100 per ounce silver I'll be sipping Pina Colada's under palmtrees in a luxe beach resort. Even at \$ 50 per ounce silver I'd be lining my pockets with the dividends and the increase in price per share will be HUGE.

Happy Juanicipio and a precious metals new year,

Tippman

18 Nov 2020, 09:13 AM

Thoemsi

Thanks Don for your current top 10 list. Most of these I own as well.

Why do you project for Aurcana only a multiple of 6.5x FCF when IAG for example gets an 11 despite dodgier jurisdictions?

Also, when including not only top jurisdictions, why am I missing stocks like Pan African Resources or Sibanye Stillwater (for the latter I might know the reason)?

I have a mixed PF in terms of producers and explorers and would love to see your current list of (silver) explorers.

Thanks

T.

16 Nov 2020, 02:31 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I think Aurcana still has to prove it can mine the narrow veins at their expected costs. I think IAG is a growth stock and will be rewarded for the results. Both are just my best estimates.

16 Nov 2020, 05:39 PM

Easy AI

@Don Durrett Thanks for an informative column. In the case of PAAS, I wished that you had discussed the possibility of the re-starting Escobal mine, which had about 20 MOz annual silver production before it was halted. This potential 20 MOz silver production will nearly double the silver production of PAAS. (edited)

16 Nov 2020, 01:59 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I'm expecting Escobal to be restarted. It provides too many jobs.

16 Nov 2020, 05:40 PM

Uncommon Wisdom

Thank you for this analysis, Don. I respect and follow your work. To me, assuming \$2,500/oz gold within 3 - 5 years is very reasonable, even conservative. But I think \$100/oz silver is quite aggressive. This implies a GSR of 25X, which I think is very unlikely. Sure, silver prices tend to over-shoot on both the upside and downside, so \$100 is possible within 3 - 5 years, but it's a stretch. I would have much preferred you using \$50 as your assumed silver price, which, to me, is a high probability. I think the GSR of 50X based upon \$2,500/\$50 is very realistic when viewed against long-term historical GSR. I can see stretch targets of \$3,000/\$60 = 50X GSR.

I looked at IAG several times but never pulled the trigger. I wish I'd bought it when it was much lower-priced. 70% of assets in risky jurisdictions was always the sticking point for me -- especially when terrorists killed 40 miners in Burkina Faso last year.

I think it's important to mention AG's huge Mexico tax issue in any write-up, especially as the most recent court decisions have been unfavorable for AG.

I think it's useful to mention Escobal in any PAAS write-up. IF it ever becomes operational again, that would be huge.

For HL, turnoffs for me were the 2-year miners' union strike and high union wages, although their Ag AISC is good and their Au AISC is OK with spot prices where they are now. (edited)

16 Nov 2020, 12:04 AM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » Good points. I've been using \$100 silver since 2012. I thought about changing it to \$75, but decided to keep it. Even with using \$100 silver, the silver stocks end up being conservatively valued.

I think AG can pay any large tax bill, even if it ends up around \$250 million, which I think is worst case. I don't see this as significant enough to leave off the list. But, yes, if the analysis was longer, it would be mentioned, and is mentioned on the GSD website.

I think Escobal will get restarted because of the number of jobs it provides. Pressure has to mount.

IAG is unloved because of the reasons you mention, but I like their properties and management team. It's a favorite of mine. If gold goes to the moon, I expect them to follow. I think they are the next Goldcorp.

HL and Coer usually disappoint and can easily be dismissed, but they will perform well in a bull market. Institutional money will buy both. (edited)

16 Nov 2020, 05:47 PM

Folger

You missed Kirkland Lake.

- All-in sustaining costs \$886/oz (lower than any gold miner on your list)
- No debt, with a cash balance of \$848.5 million poised for an acquisition to boost growth
- Latest quarterly revenue was a record of \$632.8 million, up 66% Y/Y.

- Latest quarter cash flow of \$275.7M, 52% Y/Y and 22% higher sequentially Q/Q.
- Latest quarter increased dividend by 50% to \$0.1875 per share effective in 4Q '20.

16 Nov 2020, 12:01 AM

Dr Hey hey he

Premium

@Folger12b market cap. can it quadruple?

16 Nov 2020, 01:34 AM

Charles wagner

@Folger I don't think the list is supposed to be the "best" miners. Just the ones that are calculated to have the greatest leverage to the stated PM prices. I have found that investing in the best (or at least the very good) miners beats investing in the ones most "levered" to higher PM prices, most of the time. Better risk/reward, less speculation. Give me 80% of the upside with 5% of the downside anytime. And this conclusion is easily back tested.

16 Nov 2020, 12:11 PM

marissamae

I thought Kirkland was a no brainer. Purchased in late April and only up 5% so far. It is a great company but that must be priced into the stock price. I wonder if the selling of shares by Eric Sprott has something to do with the price being stuck.

16 Nov 2020, 12:46 PM

Don Durrett

Contributor Premium

Author's reply » I own KL and love it. But my upside expectations are only a 1 or 2 bagger.

16 Nov 2020, 05:49 PM