Michael/ Jim,
I'm not sure how closely you are following the Democratic Primary in particularly Arizona and other states, but the orchestrated vote rigging is in the open for all to see.My apologies for the long email.

For starters here's a link to John Brakey's interview on FB group Occupy Rigged Elections:
https://www.facebook.com/geoff.woods.148/videos/10205808178755345/

150,000 voters unable to vote, Sanders receives 60% of paper ballots but only 40% of DRE votes, Voters' party affifliation being changed by the tens of thousands to prevent voting, etc. This is plain and simply establishment rigging the Primary. And these same conditions are happening in NY, Wisconsin, and others as well.

Something very key here is that in 2012 as group of mathematicians, engineers, and statistical analysts developed a method of vote rigging detection that is now called Candidate Vote Share analysis, or CVS. The method was rigorously attacked by trolls in 2012 that prevented it being widely accepted. Since then, however, numerous studies designed to negate CVS have instead proven CVS is a near 100% accurate method of detecting vote rigging for a single candidate. In summary, CVS tells us precisely who is cheating and by how much. One University of Vanderbilt study that supports 100% the accuracy of CVS is https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1410/1410.8868.pdf.

Explaining CVS analysis: It was discovered that the establishment tabulation vote riggers focus on precincts where the most votes exist and there's a lot to gain, leaving alone the low vote total precincts. So if we plot precinct vote totals (X) versus each candidate's cumulative vote total (Y) listing from lowest to highest vote total left to right, the graph should level out after about 2k- 10k vote have accumulated. **When a single candidate's cumulative % score correlates with the raw number of votes cast per precinct, the election is rigged.** This is ALL you need to know to accurately show a stolen election and by how much.
For example- here is an unaltered honest election: Tennessee GOP Primary 2000

- Notice that each candidate after 5% (10k votes) has a zero slope horizontal curve.

-The left side of the graph represents the small precincts (unaltered), the right side represents all precincts
- CVS Model detects (1) vote flipping and (2) ballot stuffing (see Iowa 2012 below).
- ***In a rigged election, the candidate %'s around 10k votes represent what the end results should have been in an honest election. The right-most data point of each candidate's curve represents the reported total and includes all reported votes. If the two vary more than 1.5%, the election has been rigged. So far we've not seen ANY exceptions although they surely exist.***

Another honest election:


More Honest Elections:

In 2012, something amazing happens to Mitt Romney's CVS

Romney receives 7% of the popular vote directly from Ron Paul, 2% from Huntsman. As the precincts become larger (left to right), Romney's cumulative % correlates linearly- amazing

Remember the Iowa Caucus in 2012?

Notice the absurd slope of Romney's CVS curve- analysts have calculated the chances of this happening by voter demographics or other cause at 1 in (some number with too mandigits to list here). Note also that the Iowa and New Hampshire 2012 graphs are specifically vote flipping- Romney's gains = Ron Paul's losses.

The GOP was aware of this analysis in 2012 and denied its validity. How ironic that for the first time since the internet, the GOP refuses to release any precinct data for analysis. BUT we have managed to analyze a few

The following shows Trump's vote being siphoned to the other candidates in Louisiana GOP race:

LA 2016 Republican Presidential Primary
Cumulative % Vote Share by Votes Cast

Below we have HRC stealing 15- 20% from Bernie Sanders in Louisiana Dem race

LA 2016 Democratic Presidential Primary
Cumulative % Vote Share by Votes Cast Election Day

The Establishment's Rubio stealing 9% in Oklahoma- mainly from Trump:

Cumulative % Vote Share
2016 Oklahoma Republican Pres. Primary

Hillary gaining 12+% in Oklahoma Primary


Cumulative % Election Day Ballots
2016 Oklahoma Democratic Presidential Primary

Cuyahoga County Ohio- Kasich suddenly, after losing votes in other states and NEVER having shown the anomaly in ANY other state- is the benefactor of 6% of the popular vote at everyone else's expense. The Democrats' race looks surprisingly honest.

CUYAHOGA COUNTY OHIO 2016 PRIMARY

Similar story in Franklin County- Kasich steals 6% at the expense of everyone else (Yawn) and Bernie loses 5% of popular vote to HRC.

FRANKLIN COUNTY OHIO 2016 PRIMARY

HRC receives 8% of popular vote vote from Bernie Sanders in Massachussetts.

Massachusetts Democratic 2016 PRIMARY
MA Primary

And finally- Francois Choquette was able in 2014 to separate the manually counted votes from the corporate controlled tabulators for the Wisconsin Governor's race Outgamie county in 2014. Note the flat no-slope of the manually-counted (green) versus the upward slope various brands of electronic voting machines, which supports the possibility that the rigging is performed inside the vote tabulation softwares of Dominion, Optech, and other. The probability that these anomalies are natural would be 1 in (some number with too many digits to list on this email).

2014 Wisconsin Gov. Race
Cum %Republican Vote by Voting Machine Type
Ordered by # votes cast and restricted to wards with 500 or more votes cast